UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/588,989	08/10/2006	Jun Koyama	0756-7787	4246
31780 ERIC ROBINS	7590 04/28/200 <b>ON</b>	EXAMINER		
PMB 955 21010 SOUTH		CHAUDHARI, CHANDRA P		
	LLS, VA 20165		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2891	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			04/28/2009	PAPER

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/588,989	KOYAMA, JUN				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Chandra Chaudhari	2891				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>23 Ja</u>	nuary 2009					
	action is non-final.					
·=						
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-17,19 and 20</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-17,19 and 20</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>10 August 2006</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:						
1.☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)						
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date  Notice of Informal Patent Application						
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 8/10/06.						

Page 2

Applicant's election without traverse of claims 1-17 and 19-20 and cancellation of claim 18 in the reply filed on January 23, 2009 is acknowledged.

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 4, 7, 9-17, and 19-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claim 4, lines 11-12, "can change the contents of exposure depending on program" is unclear in meaning.

In claim 10, lines 2-3, "can change the contents of exposure depending on program" is unclear in meaning.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-17, 19-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Arakawa – US 2003/0104659 and Usami – US 6,617,172.

Arakawa (especially Figs. 1A-1D, 11A-11B and text in paragraphs 18, 26-49) discloses substantially the claimed invention by forming a plurality of circuit portions to be processed over an insulating substrate, applying photoresist, exposing the photoresist by a first exposure means, exposing the photoresist by a second exposure means, developing the photoresist exposed by the first and second exposure means, and etching to form a plurality of different circuits. Arakawa does not disclose to form a plurality of circuit portions each having a modulation circuit, a demodulation circuit, and a logic circuit. Usami (especially Figs. 1, 4, 6 and text in col. 3, lines 49-62, col. 4, line 45 to col. 5, line 13, col. 7, lines 12-61, and col. 8, line 48 to col. 9, line 19) teaches that to form a plurality of circuit portions each having a modulation circuit, a demodulation circuit, and logic circuits using exposure methods. Usami also teaches to store data depending on the type of application used.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to form a plurality of different circuits as taught by Usami in Arakawa's process to efficiently form devices with various applications with reduced testing.

Application/Control Number: 10/588,989 Page 4

Art Unit: 2891

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Chandra Chaudhari whose telephone number is (571) 272-1688. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon - Fri (9:00-5:30).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kiesha Rose can be reached on (571) 272-1844. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Chandra Chaudhari/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2891 April 23, 2009